

## MATH 409 – FINAL EXAM – SOLUTIONS

1. **Option 1:** Let  $P = \{a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = b\}$  be any partition of  $[a, b]$

Then by the Mean Value Theorem, on each sub-piece  $[t_{k-1}, t_k]$ , there is  $x_k$  such that

$$f'(x_k) = \frac{f(t_k) - f(t_{k-1})}{t_k - t_{k-1}} \Rightarrow f'(x_k)(t_k - t_{k-1}) = f(t_k) - f(t_{k-1})$$

But with that choice of  $x_k$ , the Riemann sum  $R(f', P)$  becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n f'(x_k)(t_k - t_{k-1}) &= \sum_{k=1}^n f(t_k) - f(t_{k-1}) \\ &= f(t_1) - f(t_0) + f(t_2) - f(t_1) + \cdots + f(t_n) - f(t_{n-1}) \\ &= f(t_n) - f(t_0) \\ &= f(b) - f(a) \end{aligned}$$

Since this is true for every partition, it follows that  $\int_a^b f'(x)dx = f(b) - f(a)$   $\square$

**Option 2:** Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given

Since  $f$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$ ,  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $[a, b]$ . Therefore there is  $\delta > 0$  such that for every  $x, y$  in  $[a, b]$ , if  $|x - y| < \delta$  then  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{b-a}$

For this  $\delta$ , let  $P = \{a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = b\}$  be any partition with  $\text{mesh}(P) < \delta$

Then on each sub-piece, since  $f$  is continuous, by the Extreme Value Theorem,  $M(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) = f(x_k)$  and  $m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) = f(y_k)$  for some  $x_k$  and  $y_k$ . And since  $|x_k - y_k| < t_k - t_{k-1} < \delta$ , we have  $f(x_k) - f(y_k) < \frac{\epsilon}{b-a}$  and so

$$\begin{aligned}
 U(f, P) - L(f, P) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (M(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) - m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k])) (t_k - t_{k-1}) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n (f(x_k) - f(y_k)) (t_k - t_{k-1}) \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\epsilon}{b-a} (t_k - t_{k-1}) \\
 &= \frac{\epsilon}{b-a} \sum_{k=1}^n t_k - t_{k-1} \\
 &= \frac{\epsilon}{b-a} (t_1 - t_0 + t_2 - t_1 + \cdots + t_n - t_{n-1}) \\
 &= \frac{\epsilon}{b-a} (t_n - t_0) = \frac{\epsilon}{b-a} (b - a) = \epsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the Cauchy criterion,  $f$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$   $\square$

2. (a) For all  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $N$  such that if  $n > N$  then  $|s_n - s| < \epsilon$

(b) **STEP 1: Scratchwork**

$$\left| \frac{s_n}{t_n} - 0 \right| = \frac{|s_n|}{|t_n|} \leq \frac{M}{|t_n|} < \epsilon \Rightarrow |t_n| > \frac{M}{\epsilon}$$

**STEP 2:** Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $s_n$  is bounded,  $|s_n| \leq M$  for some  $M$ . Since  $t_n \rightarrow \infty$  there is  $N$  such that if  $n > N$  then  $t_n > \frac{M}{\epsilon}$

With that  $N$ , if  $n > N$ , then

$$\left| \frac{s_n}{t_n} - 0 \right| = \frac{|s_n|}{|t_n|} \leq \frac{M}{|t_n|} < \frac{M}{\frac{M}{\epsilon}} = \epsilon$$

Therefore  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_n}{t_n} = 0$  ✓

(c) Let  $s_n = n$  and  $t_n = n \rightarrow \infty$ , then

$$\frac{s_n}{t_n} = \frac{n}{n} = 1$$

Which does not converge to 0

3. (a)

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \inf \{s_n \mid n > N\}$$

(b) From lecture, we know that for any set  $S$ , we have

$$\inf(S) = -\sup(-S)$$

Now apply the identity above with  $S = \{s_n \mid n > N\}$  to get

$$\inf \{s_n \mid n > N\} = -\sup \{-s_n \mid n > N\}$$

Finally let  $N \rightarrow \infty$  to get

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \inf \{s_n \mid n > N\} = -\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup \{-s_n \mid n > N\}$$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = -\left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} -s_n\right)$$

(c) **STEP 1:** Let  $(s_{n_k})$  be a subsequence of  $(s_n)$  going to  $s =: \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n$ . Then  $-s_{n_k}$  is a subsequence of  $(-s_n)$  converging to  $-s$ . Since  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} -s_n$  is the largest limit point of  $(-s_n)$  we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} -s_n \geq -s = -\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n$$

Now let  $(-s_{n_k})$  be a subsequence of  $(-s_n)$  going to  $t =: \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} -s_n$ . Then  $s_{n_k}$  is a subsequence of  $(s_n)$  going to  $-t$ , but since  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n$  is the smallest possible limit point of  $(s_n)$  we get

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n \leq -t = - \left( \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} -s_n \right)$$

Combining both terms we get our desired result  $\square$

4. (a) For all  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $N$  such that for all  $m, n$  if  $m, n > N$  then  $|s_m - s_n| < \epsilon$
- (b) For all  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $N$  such that if  $n \geq m > N$  we have  $|\sum_{k=m}^n a_k| < \epsilon$
- (c) Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Then since  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k$  converges (it's a geometric series with  $|\frac{1}{2}| < 1$ ), by the Cauchy criterion, there is  $N$  such that if  $n \geq m > N$  then  $|\sum_{k=m}^n \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k| < \epsilon$ .

With that  $N$ , if  $n \geq m > N$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |s_n - s_m| &= |s_n - s_{n-1} + s_{n-1} - s_{n-2} + \cdots + s_{m+1} - s_m| \\ &\leq |s_n - s_{n-1}| + |s_{n-1} - s_{n-2}| + \cdots + |s_{m+1} - s_m| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n + \cdots + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{m+1} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=m}^n \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k \\ &< \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(s_n)$  is Cauchy and therefore  $(s_n)$  converges.

5. (a) For all  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $x$ , if  $0 < |x - a| < \delta$  then  $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$

(b) **STEP 1: Scratch work**

$$|f(x) - L| = |2x^3 + 3 - 19| = |2x^3 - 16| = 2|x^3 - 8| = 2|x - 2|x^2 + 2x + 4|$$

But if  $|x - 2| < 1$  then

$$|x| = |x - 2 + 2| \leq |x - 2| + |2| < 1 + 2 = 3$$

$$\text{Hence: } |x^2 + 2x + 4| \leq |x|^2 + 2|x| + 4 \leq 3^2 + 2(3) + 4 = 9 + 6 + 4 = 19$$

$$\text{Therefore } |f(x) - L| \leq 2|x - 2|(19) = 38|x - 2| < \epsilon$$

$$\text{Which gives } |x - 2| < \frac{\epsilon}{38}$$

**STEP 2:** Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given, let  $\delta = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{\epsilon}{38} \right\}$ , then if  $0 < |x - 2| < \delta$ , then  $|x - 2| < 1$  and so  $|x| < 3$  and  $|x^2 + 2x + 4| < 19$ , and also  $|x - 2| < \frac{\epsilon}{38}$  and so

$$|f(x) - 19| = 2|x - 2||x^2 + 2x + 4| \leq 2|x - 2|(19) = 38|x - 2| < 38 \left( \frac{\epsilon}{38} \right) = \epsilon \checkmark$$

And therefore  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} 2x^3 + 3 = 19$

6. (a) **STEP 1: Scratchwork**

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq C|x - y|^2 < \epsilon \Rightarrow |x - y|^2 < \frac{\epsilon}{C} \Rightarrow |x - y| < \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{C}}$$

Which suggests to let  $\delta = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{C}}$

**STEP 2: Actual Proof:**

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given, let  $\delta = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{C}}$ , then if  $|x - y| < \delta$ , then

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq C|x - y|^2 < C\left(\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{C}}\right)^2 = C\frac{\epsilon}{C} = \epsilon \checkmark$$

Hence  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$

(b) Notice that the above identity with  $y = x + h$  implies that

$$|f(x + h) - f(x)| \leq C(x + h - x)^2 = Ch^2$$

$$\text{Therefore } \left| \frac{f(x + h) - f(x)}{h} \right| \leq Ch$$

But since  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} Ch = 0$ , by the squeeze theorem, we get  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} = 0$  that is  $f'(x) = 0$  for all  $x$ , so  $f$  is constant.

7. (a) For all  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a partition  $P$  of  $[a, b]$  such that  $U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \epsilon$
- (b) Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given, let  $n$  be TBA, and let  $P$  be the evenly spaced (calculus) partition of  $[0, 1]$  with  $t_k = \frac{k}{n}$

Then since  $x^2$  is increasing, we have

$$m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) = f(t_{k-1}) = (t_{k-1})^2 = \left(\frac{k-1}{n}\right)^2 = \frac{(k-1)^2}{n^2}$$

$$M(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) = f(t_k) = (t_k)^2 = \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^2 = \frac{k^2}{n^2}$$

And therefore

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, P) - L(f, P) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (M(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k]) - m(f, [t_{k-1}, t_k])) (t_k - t_{k-1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{k^2}{n^2} - \frac{(k-1)^2}{n^2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k^2 - (k-1)^2}{n^3} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k^2 - k^2 + 2k - 1}{n^3} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{2k - 1}{n^3} \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{n^3} \left( 2 \sum_{k=1}^n k - \sum_{k=1}^n 1 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n^3} \left( 2 \left( \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \right) - n \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n^3} (n^2 + n - n) \\
&= \frac{n^2}{n^3} \\
&= \frac{1}{n}
\end{aligned}$$

But now let  $n$  be large enough such that  $\frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$  and we get

$$U(f, P) - L(f, P) = \frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$$

Therefore  $f$  is integrable on  $[0, 1]$

**Note:** Alternatively, in the calculation above, you may notice that the sum above is telescoping, and equal to:

$$U(f, P) - L(f, P) = \frac{1}{n^3} \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 - (k-1)^2 = \frac{1}{n^3} (n^2 - 0^2) = \frac{1}{n}$$