

## LECTURE 10: FOURIER TRANSFORM

**Today:** We'll discuss a continuous analog of Fourier series, called the Fourier Transform

### 1. DEFINITION AND PROPERTIES

**Motivation:** If  $f$  is a function of period 1, then

$$\hat{f}(n) = \int_0^1 f(x) e^{-2\pi i n x} dx$$

(The analog of  $\frac{1}{2\pi}$  here is  $\frac{1}{1} = 1$ )

**Questions:** Is there a continuous analog of this, where  $n$  is replaced by a real number? And what if  $f$  is not periodic?

Yes there is, and it's called the **Fourier Transform:**

**Definition:**

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx$$

**Remarks:**

- (1) Sometimes this is written as  $\mathcal{F}(f)$
- (2)  $\hat{f}$  is a function of  $\xi$  (frequency variable) and not of  $x$  (spatial variable)

(3) The improper integral is defined in the following sense

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) dx = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-N}^N g(x) dx$$

(4) An interesting special case is  $\hat{f}(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx$

(5)  $f$  is not necessarily periodic here

Does this work for any function  $f$ ? No, not even for  $f(x) = 1$ . We will discuss the appropriate function space below.

### Immediate Properties:

(1) (Translation)  $\widehat{f(x+h)} = \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i h \xi}$

(2) (Translation)  $\widehat{f(x) e^{-2\pi i x h}} = \hat{f}(\xi + h)$

(3) (Dilation) If  $\delta > 0$  then  $\widehat{f(\delta x)} = \frac{1}{\delta} \hat{f}\left(\frac{\xi}{\delta}\right)$

This means for example that if  $g(x) = f(x+h)$  then  $\hat{g}(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i h \xi}$

For example, if  $\delta = 2$  this says  $\widehat{f(2x)} = \frac{1}{2} \hat{f}\left(\frac{\xi}{2}\right)$ , so the Fourier transform turns compression into stretching, and vice-versa.

(The properties follow from the definition and/or  $u$ -subs)

## 2. THE SCHWARTZ SPACE

In order for the Fourier transform to be well-defined, we need  $f$  to go to 0 very fast at  $\pm\infty$

**Intuitively:** We want all the derivatives  $f, f', f''$  etc. not only to be bounded, but also go faster to 0 than any power function  $\frac{1}{x}, \frac{1}{x^2}, \frac{1}{x^3}$  etc.

**Definition:**  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  (Schwartz Space) if  $f$  is infinitely differentiable and for all  $k$  and  $n$

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |x|^k |f^{(n)}(x)| < \infty$$

(The sup could depend on  $k$  and  $n$ )

For example, with  $n = 0$  this means that  $|f(x)| \leq \frac{C_k}{|x|^k}$  for all  $x$  and all  $k$

**Example:**  $e^{-x^2} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , but also functions that are 0 outside a bounded interval

Notice that if  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  then  $f' \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $xf \in \mathcal{S}$ , and (see below)  $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{S}$

Finally, note that this is just a sufficient condition, there are non-Schwartz functions for which  $\hat{f}$  is defined

### 3. DERIVATIVES AND FOURIER TRANSFORMS

The Fourier transform turns differentiation into multiplication, in the following sense:

**Fact:** [Differentiation]

$$(1) \widehat{f'(x)} = (2\pi i \xi) \hat{f}(\xi)$$

$$(2) \widehat{-2\pi i x f(x)} = \frac{d}{d\xi} \hat{f}(\xi)$$

In particular, it turns differential equations into algebra equations! This is why they're so useful in ODE and PDE.

**Proof of (1):** Integrating by parts with respect to  $x$  gives

$$\int_{-N}^N f'(x) e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx = [f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \xi}]_{-N}^N + 2\pi i \xi \int_{-N}^N f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx$$

Letting  $N \rightarrow \infty$  gives the result. The boundary terms are 0 because

$$|e^{-2\pi i x \xi} f(x)| = |e^{-2\pi i x \xi}| |f(x)| = |f(x)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \pm\infty, \text{ because } f \text{ is Schwartz}$$

**Proof-Sketch of (2)**<sup>1</sup> Follows from writing

$$\frac{\hat{f}(\xi + h) - \hat{f}(\xi)}{h} - \widehat{(-2\pi i x f(\xi))} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \xi} \left[ \frac{e^{-2\pi i x h} - 1}{h} + 2\pi i x \right]$$

And splitting up the integral into two regions, one where  $|x|$  is large (where we can use that  $f(x)$  and  $x f(x)$  are Schwartz) and one where  $|x|$  is small, where we can use that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{-2\pi i x h} - 1}{h} + 2\pi i x = -2\pi i x + 2\pi i x = 0$$

**Corollary:** If  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  then  $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{S}$

**Why?** First note that whenever  $g \in \mathcal{S}$  then  $\hat{g}$  is bounded because

$$|\hat{g}(\xi)| = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) e^{2\pi i x \xi} dx \right| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(x)| |e^{2\pi i x \xi}| dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(x)| dx \leq C$$

But then  $\xi \hat{f}(\xi)$  is bounded because it's just the Fourier transform of  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} f'(x) \in \mathcal{S}$  and so is  $\frac{d}{d\xi} \hat{f}(\xi)$  because it's the Fourier transform of

---

<sup>1</sup>See Stein and Shakarchi, Prop 1.2(v) in Chapter 5 for details

$-2\pi i x f(x) \in \mathcal{S}$ , and you can use this to show that  $\xi^k \left| \left( \hat{f} \right)^{(n)}(\xi) \right|$  is always bounded, so  $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{S}$   $\square$

#### 4. SELF-ADJOINTNESS

The Fourier transform has an interesting self-adjointness property:

**Fact:** If  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}$  then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(x) g(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) \hat{g}(y) dy$$

**Note:** Compare this to  $\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, Ty \rangle$  if  $T$  is self-adjoint

**Proof:**

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(x) g(x) dx &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) e^{-2\pi i x y} dy \right) g(x) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) g(x) e^{-2\pi i x y} dy dx \\ &\stackrel{\text{FUB}}{=} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) e^{-2\pi i x y} dx \right) dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) \hat{g}(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

(The use of Fubini is justified because  $f$  and  $g$  are Schwartz)

#### 5. CONVOLUTION

We can generalize the notion of convolution to functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ :

**Definition:**

$$(f \star g)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y)g(x-y)dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x-y)g(y)dy$$

Again,  $f$  and  $g$  are not necessarily periodic. This definition is more widely used in math than the previous one.

**Facts:**

(1) If  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $g \in \mathcal{S}$  then  $f \star g \in \mathcal{S}$

(2)  $f \star g = g \star f$

(3)  $\widehat{f \star g}(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi)\hat{g}(\xi)$

The proofs of (2) and (3) are identical to the one in the periodic case (where you use Fubini)

## 6. THE FOURIER INVERSION FORMULA

One of the cornerstone theorems in the theory of Fourier transforms is the Fourier inversion formula, which says:

**Theorem:** [Fourier Inversion]

$$f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi)e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi$$

In other words,  $f$  is its own Fourier transform! (provided you use  $2\pi i$  instead of  $-2\pi i$ ), so in some sense, the Fourier transform is a (sort of)

bijection from  $\mathcal{S}$  to  $\mathcal{S}$

### Some preliminary Facts:

(1) If  $f(x) = e^{-\pi x^2}$  then  $\hat{f}(\xi) = f(\xi)$

(2) If  $G_\delta(x) = e^{-\pi\delta x^2}$  then  $\widehat{G_\delta}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}}e^{-\frac{\pi\xi^2}{\delta}} =: K_\delta(\xi)$

The first follows from using the definition and completing the square, and the second one follows from the Dilation property

### Proof-Sketch of Fourier Inversion:<sup>2</sup>

**STEP 1:** First assume  $x = 0$  and show

$$f(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i(0)\xi} d\xi = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

Let  $G_\delta = e^{-\pi\delta x^2}$  and  $K_\delta$  as above, then by self-adjointness, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \underbrace{K_\delta(x)}_{\hat{G}_\delta} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) G_\delta(\xi) d\xi$$

**STEP 2: Left term:** By symmetry,  $K_\delta(x) = K_\delta(-x)$  and so the integral on the left can be written as

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) K_\delta(-x) dx = (f \star K_\delta)(0)$$

It can be shown that  $\{K_\delta\}$  is a family of Good Kernels and so by a result from the homework, it follows that  $(f \star K_\delta) \rightarrow f$  uniformly as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , and in particular

---

<sup>2</sup>see Stein and Shakarchi, Theorem 1.9 in Chapter 5

$$(f \star K_\delta)(0) \rightarrow f(0)$$

So the left hand side indeed converges to  $f(0)$

### STEP 3: Right Term

Using  $G_\delta = e^{-\pi\delta x^2}$  it follows that as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) G_\delta(\xi) d\xi = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{-\pi\delta\xi^2} d\xi \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

Combining the two we get

$$f(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi$$

**STEP 4:** In the general case, if  $x$  is fixed, let  $F(y) = f(y + x)$  then by the  $x = 0$  result above and the translation property, we get

$$f(x) = F(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{F}(\xi) d\xi = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi \quad \square$$

## 7. PLANCHEREL'S FORMULA

As a corollary, we obtain Plancherel's Formula, which says that the Fourier transform is an isometry:

**Theorem:** [Plancherel]

$$\text{If } f \in \mathcal{S} \text{ then } \|\hat{f}\| = \|f\|$$

**Proof:** Define  $f^s(x) = \overline{f(-x)}$  then can show that  $\widehat{f^s}(\xi) = \overline{\hat{f}(\xi)}$ .



Let  $h = f \star f^s$  then by the Fourier inversion formula with  $x = 0$  we get

$$h(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{h}(\xi) d\xi$$

$$\begin{aligned} h(0) &= (f \star f^s)(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) f^{(s)}(-x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \overline{f(-(-x))} dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{h}(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{f}^s(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) \overline{\hat{f}(\xi)} = \left| \hat{f}(\xi) \right|^2$$

Combining the two, we get

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \hat{f}(\xi) \right|^2 d\xi \quad \square$$