

## LECTURE 15: THE INVERSE FUNCTION THEOREM

Welcome to the first of two major theorems about derivatives: The Inverse Function Theorem

### 1. MOTIVATION

**Goal:** If  $y = f(x)$ , when can we solve for  $x$  in terms of  $y$ ? That is, when can we write  $x = g(y)$  where  $g$  is a **smooth** function?

**Example 1:** If  $f(x) = x^3$  then  $g(y) = y^{\frac{1}{3}}$ . Notice  $g$  is differentiable **except** at 0, and 0 is *precisely* the point where  $f'(x) = 0$

**Example 2:** If  $f(x) = x^2$  then we can't find a *global* inverse (valid for all  $x$ ) since  $f$  isn't one-to-one, but our hope is to do this locally, around a point. Once again there is no inverse when  $f'(x) = 0$ .

In short, we would like to say “As long as  $f'(x) \neq 0$ , we can solve for  $x$  in terms of  $y$ , at least locally”

### 2. INVERSE FUNCTION THEOREM (PART 1)

**Definition:**  $f$  is  $C^1$  if  $f$  is differentiable and  $f'$  is continuous.

**Definition:**  $f$  is **invertible** if there is  $g$  such that  $f(g(x)) = g(f(x)) = x$  for all  $x$ .

---

*Date:* Wednesday, July 27, 2022.

This is equivalent to  $f$  being one-to-one and onto.

**Definition:** A matrix  $A$  is **invertible** if there is  $A^{-1}$  such that  $AA^{-1} = A^{-1}A = I$ . Equivalently,  $\det(A) \neq 0$

### Inverse Function Theorem 1:

Suppose  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is  $C^1$ . If  $\det(f'(a)) \neq 0$  for some  $a$ , there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $a$  and an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $f(a)$  such that  $f : U \rightarrow V$  is invertible.

This theorem is incredibly powerful. It says that  $f$  **inherits** invertibility from its derivative  $f'$ . So if  $f'$  is invertible (as a linear transformation), then  $f$  is invertible (as a function), at least locally.

This is one of the theorems that illustrates how  $f'$  “controls”  $f$ .

**Intuitively**, this makes sense in terms of linear approximations. If  $r(h) = 0$ , then we get

$$f(x + h) = f(x) + f'(x)h$$

So if  $f'(x)$  is invertible, then  $f(x + h) \neq f(x)$  at least for small  $h$

The proof is a surprising application of:

**Recall:** [Banach Fixed point Theorem]

Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $\phi : X \rightarrow X$  a contraction, then  $\phi$  has a unique fixed point.

**Proof of Inverse Function Theorem 1:**

**PART 1:** Find  $U$  and show  $f$  is one-to-one on  $U$

**STEP 1:** Let  $A = f'(a)$  and let  $\lambda$  (small) be TBA

**Intuitively:** Since  $f'$  is continuous at  $a$ , if  $x$  is close to  $a$  then  $f'(x)$  is close to  $f'(a) = A$

More precisely, there is  $r > 0$  small such that for all  $x \in B(a, r)$ ,

$$\|f'(x) - A\| < \lambda$$

Let  $\boxed{U = B(a, r)}$  (open)

**STEP 2:** Fix  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and define the following function  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\phi(x) = x + A^{-1}(y - f(x))$$

Notice that  $f(x) = y \Leftrightarrow \phi(x) = x$  (since the terms in parentheses are 0)

Our ultimate goal is to apply the Banach Fixed Point theorem to  $\phi$

**STEP 3: Claim:**  $\phi$  is a contraction

**Why?** By the Chain Rule, we have

$$\phi'(x) = I + A^{-1}(-f'(x)) = A^{-1}A - A^{-1}f'(x) = A^{-1}(A - f'(x))$$

$$\text{Hence } \|\phi'(x)\| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \|A - f'(x)\| \stackrel{\text{STEP 1}}{<} \|A^{-1}\| \lambda$$

Therefore, if we *choose*  $\lambda$  such that  $\|A^{-1}\| \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$  then we get

$$\|\phi'(x)\| < \frac{1}{2}$$

By the version of the Mean Value Theorem from last time, we get

$$|\phi(x_1) - \phi(x_2)| \leq \frac{1}{2} |x_1 - x_2|$$

Hence  $\phi$  is a contraction

**STEP 4:**  $f$  is one-to-one on  $U$

Suppose  $f(x_1) = f(x_2) = y$  then  $\phi(x_1) = x_1$  and  $\phi(x_2) = x_2$  and

$$|x_1 - x_2| \leq \frac{1}{2} |x_1 - x_2|$$

Which implies  $x_1 = x_2$  so  $f$  is one-to-one

So if you define  $\boxed{V = f(U)}$  then  $f : U \rightarrow V$  is invertible.

The only thing we need to show is that:

**PART 2:**  $V$  is open

This is where we'll need the full version of the Banach Fixed Point Theorem. That is, we will need to find the complete metric space  $X$  and show that  $\phi : X \rightarrow X$

**STEP 1:** Let  $y_0 \in V = f(U)$ . Then  $y_0 = f(x_0)$  for some  $x_0 \in U$

We need to show that  $y \in f(U)$  for all  $y$  close enough to  $y_0$

Fix  $y$  such that  $|y - y_0| < \text{TBA}$  (small)

Let  $\boxed{B = B(x_0, r)}$  where  $r$  is so small that  $\overline{B} \subseteq U$

**STEP 2: Claim:**  $\phi : \bar{B} \rightarrow \bar{B}$

**Why?** First of all, notice

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(x_0) - x_0| &= |x_0 - A^{-1}(y - f(x_0)) - x_0| = |A^{-1}(y - y_0)| \leq \|A^{-1}\| |y - y_0| \\ &< \frac{1}{2\lambda} |y - y_0| \end{aligned}$$

But now if you *choose*  $y$  such that  $|y - y_0| < \lambda r$  then the above becomes

$$|\phi(x_0) - x_0| < \frac{1}{2\lambda}(\lambda r) = \frac{r}{2}$$

Therefore if  $x \in \bar{B}$  then we get by the contraction property

$$|\phi(x) - x_0| \leq |\phi(x) - \phi(x_0)| + |\phi(x_0) - x_0| < \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{|x - x_0|}_{\leq r} + \frac{r}{2} \leq \frac{r}{2} + \frac{r}{2} = r$$

(Here we used  $|x - x_0| \leq r$  since  $x \in \bar{B}$ )

Hence  $\phi(x) \in \overline{B(x_0, r)} =: \bar{B} \checkmark$

**STEP 3:** Hence  $\phi : \bar{B} \rightarrow \bar{B}$  is a contraction. And since  $\bar{B}$  is a closed subset of the complete metric space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\bar{B}$  is complete.

Therefore by the Banach fixed point theorem,  $\phi$  has a unique fixed point  $x$ .

For this  $x$ , by definition of  $\phi$ , we have  $f(x) = y$

**STEP 4: Conclusion:**

We have shown that if  $y_0 \in V$  and if  $y \in B(y_0, \lambda r)$  then  $y = f(x)$  for some  $x \in U$ , that is  $y \in f(U) = V$ . Therefore  $B(y_0, \lambda r) \subseteq V$  and thus

we have shown that  $V$  is open □

**Corollary:** [Open Mapping Theorem] Suppose  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is  $C^1$  and  $f'(x)$  is invertible for all  $x$ . If  $U$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  then  $f(U)$  is open as well

So  $f$  maps open sets to open sets.

This is interesting because in topology,  $f$  is continuous  $\Leftrightarrow f^{-1}(U)$  is open whenever  $U$  is open, so this is saying that  $f^{-1}$  is continuous.

### 3. INVERSE FUNCTION THEOREM (PART 2)

Not only does the inverse  $g$  exist, but it's actually differentiable!

**Motivation:** ( $n = 1$ ) If  $f(g(x)) = x$  then differentiating this, we get

$$f'(g(x))g'(x) = 1 \Rightarrow g'(x) = \frac{1}{f'(g(x))}$$

This was used in Calculus to get the derivatives of  $\ln(x)$  or  $\sin^{-1}(x)$  for example.

And in fact the same thing is true in higher dimensions.

#### Inverse Function Theorem 2

If  $g : V \rightarrow U$  is the inverse of  $f$ , defined by  $g(f(x)) = x$

$$\text{Then } g \in C^1(V) \text{ and } g'(x) = (f'(g(x)))^{-1}$$

**Proof of Inverse Function Theorem 2:**

**STEP 1:** Fix  $y \in V$  and let  $k$  small enough so that  $y + k \in V$  (we will ultimately let  $k \rightarrow 0$ )

By definition of  $V = f(U)$  there is  $x$  such that  $y = f(x)$  and  $z$  such that  $y + k = f(z)$ . Note that you can write  $z = x + \underbrace{(z - x)}_h = x + h$

So  $\boxed{y = f(x)}$  and  $\boxed{y + k = f(x + h)}$  for some  $h$

**STEP 2:** We would like to compare  $|h|$  with  $|k|$

**Claim:**  $|h| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} |k|$

This implies in particular that if  $k \rightarrow 0$  then  $h \rightarrow 0$ .

**Why?** Notice

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x + h) - \phi(x) &= (\mathcal{X} + h + A^{-1}(y - f(x + h))) - (\mathcal{X} + A^{-1}(y - f(x))) \\ &= h - A^{-1}(f(x + h) - f(x)) \\ &= h - A^{-1}(y + k - y) \\ &= h - A^{-1}k \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence } |h - A^{-1}k| = |\phi(x + h) - \phi(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2} |x + h - x| = \frac{1}{2} |h|$$

And by the Reverse Triangle inequality, we have

$$|A^{-1}k| = |A^{-1}k - h - (-h)| \geq ||A^{-1}k - h| - |-h|| \geq \left| \frac{1}{2} |h| - |h| \right| = \left| -\frac{1}{2} |h| \right| = \frac{|h|}{2}$$

$$\text{Hence } |h| \leq 2 |A^{-1}k| \leq \underbrace{2 \|A^{-1}\|}_{\frac{1}{\lambda}} |k| = \frac{1}{\lambda} |k| \checkmark$$

**STEP 3:**

**Recall:** If  $A$  is invertible and  $\|B - A\| < \frac{1}{\|A^{-1}\|}$  then  $B$  is invertible

Since  $A = f'(a)$  is invertible (by assumption), the fact that

$$\|f'(x) - A\| < \lambda < \frac{1}{2\|A^{-1}\|} < \frac{1}{\|A^{-1}\|}$$

Implies that  $f'(x)$  is invertible for all  $x \in U$

Let  $T = (f'(x))^{-1}$

**Claim:**  $g'(y) = T$

Then we would be done because then

$$g'(y) = T = (f'(x))^{-1} = (f'(g(y)))^{-1} \checkmark$$

**STEP 4: Proof of Claim:**

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{f(x+h)}_{y+k} - \underbrace{f(x)}_y - f'(x)h &= k - f'(x)h \\ &= (f'(x)) \left( (f'(x))^{-1} k - h \right) \\ &= (f'(x)) (Tk - h) \\ &= (f'(x)) \left( Tk - \underbrace{(x+h)}_{g(y+k)} - \underbrace{x}_{g(y)} \right) \\ &= (f'(x)) (Tk - g(y+k) + g(y)) \\ &= -f'(x) (g(y+k) - g(y) - Tk) \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides by  $-(f'(x))^{-1} = -T$  it then follows that

$$g(y+k) - g(y) - Tk = -T (f(x+h) - f(x) - f'(x)h)$$



$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{|g(y+k) - g(y) - Tk|}{|k|} &\leq \frac{\|T\| |f(x+h) - f(x) - f'(x)h|}{|k|} \\
&= \|T\| \left(\frac{|h|}{|k|}\right) \frac{|f(x+h) - f(x) - f'(x)h|}{|h|} \\
&\stackrel{\text{STEP 1}}{\leq} \|T\| \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \frac{|f(x+h) - f(x) - f'(x)h|}{|h|}
\end{aligned}$$

Now let  $k \rightarrow 0$ .

Then, by **STEP 1** we have  $h \rightarrow 0$  and so the right-hand-side of goes to 0 by definition of  $f'(x)$ , which forces the left-hand-side goes to 0.

Therefore in fact  $g'(y) = T \checkmark$

**STEP 5:**  $g \in C^1$

Since  $g$  is differentiable,  $g$  is continuous, therefore  $f'(g(y))$  is continuous, and so is  $(f'(g(y)))^{-1} = g'(y)$  since the mapping  $A \rightarrow A^{-1}$  is continuous  $\square$

**Definition:**  $f$  is a  $C^1$  **diffeomorphism** if  $f$  is  $C^1$ , one-to-one, onto, and  $f^{-1}$  is  $C^1$

**Corollary:** If  $\det(f'(a)) \neq 0$ , for some  $a$ , then  $f$  is locally a  $C^1$  diffeomorphism.