

LECTURE 17: SECOND-ORDER DERIVATIVES

1. SECOND-ORDER DERIVATIVES

Notice: If $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is real-valued, then so are its partial derivatives $f_{x_1}, f_{x_2}, \dots, f_{x_n}$

If the partial derivatives f_{x_i} happen themselves to be differentiable, then we can define the **second-order partial derivatives** as

Definition: $(f_{x_i})_{x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (f_{x_i})$ (Rudin uses D_{ji} for this)

In general, we do **not** have $(f_{x_i})_{x_j} \neq (f_{x_j})_{x_i}$

Example: If $f(0, 0) = 0$ and

$$f(x, y) = \frac{xy(x^2 - y^2)}{x^2 + y^2}$$

Then $(f_{x_1})_{x_2}(0, 0) = -1$ but $(f_{x_2})_{x_1}(0, 0) = 1$ (see homework)

(The problem is once again interchange of limits in the derivatives)

That said, *if* the second-order partial derivatives are continuous, then the above is true.

Date: Monday, August 1, 2022.

Rectangle Lemma: Suppose f_{x_1} and $(f_{x_1})_{x_2}$ exist in \mathbb{R}^2 . Let Q be the rectangle with opposite vertices (a, b) and $(a + h, b + k)$ where $h, k \neq 0$ (see picture in lecture) and let

$$\Delta(f, Q) = f(a + h, b + k) - f(a + h, b) - f(a, b + k) + f(a, b)$$

Then there is a point (x, y) inside Q such that

$$\frac{\Delta(f, Q)}{hk} = (f_{x_1})_{x_2}(x, y)$$

Compare this with the Mean Value Theorem $\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a} = f'(c)$. Here we're saying that a difference quotient can be estimated with second derivatives. It should remind you a little bit of the formula

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - 2f(x) + f(x-h)}{h^2} = f''(x)$$

Proof:

STEP 1: Let $u(t) = f(t, b + k) - f(t, b)$, then

$$\Delta(f, Q) = u(a + h) - u(a)$$

By the Mean-Value Theorem applied to u , there is x between a and $a + h$ such that $u(a + h) - u(a) = hu'(x)$

But $u'(t) = f_{x_1}(t, b + k) - f_{x_1}(t, b)$ and so

$$u'(x) = f_{x_1}(x, b + k) - f_{x_1}(x, b)$$

Let $v(t) = f_{x_1}(x, t)$ then

$$u'(x) = v(b + k) - v(b)$$

By the mean-value theorem applied to v , there is y between b and $b+k$ such that $v(b+k) - v(b) = kv'(y)$

But $v'(t) = (f_{x_1})_{x_2}(x, t)$ and so $v'(y) = (f_{x_1})_{x_2}(x, y)$.

STEP 2: Combining everything we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(f, Q) &= u(a+h) - u(a) \\ &= hu'(x) \\ &= h(v(b+k) - v(b)) \\ &= hkv'(y) \\ &= hk(f_{x_1})_{x_2}(x, y) \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

Theorem: [Clairaut/Schwarz Theorem]

If moreover $(f_{x_1})_{x_2}$ is continuous at (a, b) then $(f_{x_2})_{x_1}(a, b)$ exists and

$$(f_{x_2})_{x_1}(a, b) = (f_{x_1})_{x_2}(a, b)$$

Fun Fact: Clairaut had 19 siblings, and published his first math paper at 12 years old!

Proof: Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given and $A =: (f_{x_1})_{x_2}(a, b)$. By continuity, if h and k are small enough, then for all $(x, y) \in Q$ (rectangle) we have

$$|(f_{x_1})_{x_2}(x, y) - A| < \epsilon$$

Therefore by the Rectangle Lemma above, we have

$$\left| \frac{\Delta(f, Q)}{hk} - A \right| < \epsilon$$

Now if we let $k \rightarrow 0$ first, then we get

$$\left| \left(\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta(f, Q)}{hk} \right) - A \right| \leq \epsilon$$

$$\text{But } \frac{\Delta(f, Q)}{hk} = \frac{1}{h} \left[\left(\frac{f(a+h, b+k) - f(a+h, b)}{k} \right) - \left(\frac{f(a, b+k) - f(a, b)}{k} \right) \right]$$

So as $k \rightarrow 0$ this just tends to $\frac{f_{x_2}(a+h, b) - f_{x_2}(a, b)}{h}$ and hence

$$\left| \frac{f_{x_2}(a+h, b) - f_{x_2}(a, b)}{h} - A \right| \leq \epsilon$$

Finally, if you let $h \rightarrow 0$, since this is true for all $\epsilon > 0$ we get

$$(f_{x_2})_{x_1}(a, b) = A =: (f_{x_1})_{x_2}(a, b) \quad \square$$

Definition: In that case (unambiguous by Clairaut)

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} = f_{x_1 x_2} = (f_{x_1})_{x_2} = (f_{x_2})_{x_1}$$

Definition: f is C^2 if f is C^1 and all the second-order partial derivatives are continuous.

2. THE TRUE SECOND-DERIVATIVE TEST

Video: The True Second-Derivative Test

Definition: The **Hessian** of f is

$$D^2 f = \left[\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right]$$

Note: If f is C^2 then this matrix is symmetric

As an application of this, let's state the **true** second derivative test for max/min (not the fake version you learned in multivariable calculus)

The True Second-Derivative Test: Suppose $f'(a) = 0$ for some a

- (1) If all the eigenvalues of $D^2f(a)$ are > 0 , f has a local min at a
- (2) If all the eigenvalues of $D^2f(a)$ are < 0 , f has a local max at a
- (3) If the eigenvalues of $D^2f(a)$ are mixed (positive/neg) then f has a saddle point at a
- (4) Else the test is inconclusive

Example: $f(x, y) = x^3 - 3x + 3xy^2$

Can show that f has a critical point at $(1, 0)$ and

$$D^2f = \begin{bmatrix} f_{xx} & f_{xy} \\ f_{yx} & f_{yy} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6x & 6y \\ 6y & 6x \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow D^2f(1, 0) = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues are $\lambda = 6$ and $\lambda = 6$, which are positive, hence f has a local min at $(1, 0)$

3. DIFFERENTIATION OF INTEGRALS

Finally, let's discuss the **very** delicate question of differentiation of integrals.

Question: When do we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_a^b \phi(x, t) dx = \int_a^b \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}(x, t) dx$$

In general, this is not true (see homework), but we can show that this does hold provided $\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}$ is continuous

Fact: Suppose $\phi : [a, b] \times [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}$ are continuous, and if

$$f(t) = \int_a^b \phi(x, t) dx \text{ then } f'(t) = \int_a^b \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}(x, t) dx$$

Proof:

STEP 1: Fix t and consider the difference quotient

$$\psi(x, s) = \frac{\phi(x, s) - \phi(x, t)}{s - t}$$

Claim:

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow t} \psi(x, s) = \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}(x, t)$$

This convergence is uniform in x (independent of x)

Why? Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. By uniform continuity of $\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that if $|s - t| < \delta$ and all x , we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}(x, s) - \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}(x, t) \right| < \epsilon$$

With that $\delta > 0$, if $|s - t| < \delta$, then by the Mean-Value Theorem applied to $\phi(x, \cdot)$, there is a u between s and t such that

$$\psi(x, s) = \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}(x, u)$$

But in particular $|u - t| < \delta$ (u is closer to t than s is) and so

$$\left| \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}(x, u) - \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}(x, t) \right| < \epsilon \Rightarrow \left| \psi(x, s) - \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}(x, t) \right| < \epsilon \checkmark$$

STEP 2: By definition of f , we have

$$\frac{f(s) - f(t)}{s - t} = \int_a^b \psi(x, s) dx$$

But since $\psi(x, s) \rightarrow \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}(x, t)$ as $s \rightarrow t$, uniformly in x , we get

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow t} \frac{f(s) - f(t)}{s - t} = \lim_{s \rightarrow t} \int_a^b \psi(x, s) dx = \int_a^b \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}(x, t) dx$$

(We can put the limit inside the integral by uniform convergence)

This implies that $f'(t)$ exists as a limit and $f'(t) = \int_a^b \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}(x, t) dx$ \square

Note: There's a related identity called the **Leibniz rule for integrals** that is often used in practice

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_a^t \phi(x, t) dx = \phi(t, t) + \int_a^t \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}(x, t) dx$$

4. AN INTERESTING EXAMPLE

$$\text{Let } f(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} \cos(xt) dx$$

In this section we will find an explicit formula for f

Claim # 1:

$$f'(t) = g(t) \text{ where } g(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} -xe^{-x^2} \sin(xt) dx$$

(This is what you expect if you naively differentiate under the integral)

Proof: First of all, notice that for all α and β we have

$$\begin{aligned}\cos(\alpha + \beta) - \cos(\alpha) &= \int_{\alpha}^{\alpha+\beta} -\sin(t) dt \\ \frac{\cos(\alpha + \beta) - \cos(\alpha)}{\beta} &= \frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\alpha}^{\alpha+\beta} -\sin(t) dt \\ \frac{\cos(\alpha + \beta) - \cos(\alpha)}{\beta} + \sin(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\alpha}^{\alpha+\beta} \sin(\alpha) - \sin(t) dt\end{aligned}$$

(Here we used that $\sin(\alpha)$ is constant)

If $\beta > 0$ and using $|\sin(x) - \sin(y)| \leq |x - y|$ and $\alpha - t \leq 0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \frac{\cos(\alpha + \beta) - \cos(\alpha)}{\beta} + \sin(\alpha) \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\alpha}^{\alpha+\beta} \sin(\alpha) - \sin(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|\beta|} \int_{\alpha}^{\alpha+\beta} |\sin(\alpha) - \sin(t)| dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\alpha}^{\alpha+\beta} |\alpha - t| dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta} \left[\frac{(t - \alpha)^2}{2} \right]_{\alpha}^{\alpha+\beta} = \frac{1}{\beta} \left(\frac{\beta^2}{2} \right) = \frac{\beta}{2}\end{aligned}$$

A similar formula holds if $\beta < 0$ and so

$$\left| \frac{\cos(\alpha + \beta) - \cos(\alpha)}{\beta} + \sin(\alpha) \right| \leq |\beta|$$

Now apply the above with $\alpha = xt$ and $\beta = xh$ and use the definitions of f and g to get

$$\left| \frac{f(t+h) - f(t)}{h} - g(t) \right| \leq |h| \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 e^{-x^2} dx \right)$$

Therefore, letting $h \rightarrow 0$ we obtain that $f'(t) = g(t) \checkmark$

Claim # 2: $f(t) = \sqrt{\pi}e^{-\frac{t^2}{4}}$

Proof: Integrate $f(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} \cos(xt) dx$ by parts with $du = \cos(xt)$ and $v = e^{-x^2}$ to get

$$f(t) = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} -2xe^{-x^2} \left(\frac{\sin(xt)}{t} \right) dx = -2 \left(\frac{g(t)}{t} \right)$$

$$tf(t) = -2g(t)$$

$$tf(t) = -2f'(t) \quad (\text{Since } f' = g)$$

$$\frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} = -\frac{t}{2}$$

$$(\ln |f(t)|)' = -\frac{t}{2}$$

$$\ln |f(t)| = -\frac{t^2}{4} + C$$

$$|f(t)| = e^C e^{-\frac{t^2}{4}}$$

$$f(t) = \underbrace{\pm e^C}_C e^{-\frac{t^2}{4}} \Rightarrow f(t) = Ce^{-\frac{t^2}{4}}$$

$$C = f(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} \cos(x0) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} dx = \sqrt{\pi}$$

$$\text{Therefore } f(t) = \sqrt{\pi}e^{-\frac{t^2}{4}}$$