

LECTURE: MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATE

1. MLE

Setting: Suppose you're trying to find a “good” estimator $\hat{\theta}$ for an unknown parameter θ

Definition:

Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n be iid samples from a population with pmf $p_\theta(y)$ or density $f_\theta(y)$

The **likelihood** of the sample is given by:

$$L(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n | \theta) = p_\theta(Y_1)p_\theta(Y_2) \cdots p_\theta(Y_n) \quad (\text{discrete})$$

$$L(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n | \theta) = f_\theta(Y_1)f_\theta(Y_2) \cdots f_\theta(Y_n) \quad (\text{continuous})$$

Here we're using the subscript θ to emphasize the dependence on θ

Intuitively, think of L as the joint pmf/density of Y_1, \dots, Y_n

The maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) chooses the value of the parameter θ which maximizes the likelihood of our sample.

Definition:

The **maximum likelihood estimator** $\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}$ is the value of θ which maximizes the likelihood $L(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n | \theta)$

2. UNIFORM EXAMPLE

Example 1:

Suppose we have a population with a uniform distribution on $[0, b]$

Take samples Y_1, \dots, Y_n from this distribution.

(a) Find the MLE for b

STEP 1: The density of a uniform distribution on $[0, b]$ is

$$f_{\theta}(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b} & 0 \leq y \leq b \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

STEP 2: Thus the likelihood function is

$$L(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n | \theta) = f_{\theta}(Y_1) f_{\theta}(Y_2) \cdots f_{\theta}(Y_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b^n} & 0 \leq Y_i \leq b \text{ for all } i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We don't want L to be 0, since that is not a maximum, hence we need $0 \leq Y_i \leq b$ for all i

STEP 3: In order to make $\frac{1}{b^n}$ as *large* as possible, we need b to be as *small* as possible, while keeping the requirement $0 \leq Y_i \leq b$ for all i

Question: What is the smallest b such that $[0, b]$ contains all the samples Y_i ?

Answer: Let b be the largest sample point $\max(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n)$

Hence our MLE is $\hat{b}_{\text{MLE}} = Y_{\max} = \max(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n)$

Note: This is different from our method of moments estimator $2\bar{Y}$

(b) Is \hat{b}_{MLE} an unbiased estimator for b ?

We need to find $E(\hat{b}_{\text{MLE}})$ which requires finding the density of Y_{max}

This is a little tricky to compute, but much easier in terms of CDFs.

STEP 1:

Let $Y \sim \text{Uniform}[0, b]$ and let $F(y) = P(Y \leq y)$ be the CDF of Y

Let $Y_{\text{max}} = \max(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n)$ and let F_{max} be the CDF for Y_{max} .

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\text{max}}(y) &= P(Y_{\text{max}} \leq y) = P(\max(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n) \leq y) = P(Y_i \leq y \text{ for all } i) \\ &= P(Y_1 \leq y)P(Y_2 \leq y) \cdots P(Y_n \leq y) \\ &= F(y)F(y) \cdots F(y) \\ &= (F(y))^n \end{aligned}$$

STEP 2: Since the density of Y is $f(y) = \frac{1}{b}$ for $0 \leq y \leq b$ and the CDF is the integral of the density, we get

$$F(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & y < 0 \\ \frac{y}{b} & 0 \leq y \leq b \\ 1 & y > b \end{cases}$$

Therefore $F_{\text{max}}(y) = (F(y))^n = \left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^n$ if $0 \leq y \leq b$

STEP 4: Since the density is the derivative of the cdf we get

$$f_{\text{max}}(y) = \frac{d}{dy} F_{\text{max}}(y) = ny^{n-1} \frac{1}{b^n}$$

The density is 0 outside the interval $[0, b]$, so with the appropriate limits the density becomes

$$f_{\max}(y) = \begin{cases} ny^{n-1} \frac{1}{b^n} & 0 \leq y \leq b \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

STEP 5: Having the density, we can now calculate

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y_{\max}) &= \int_0^b y f_{\max}(y) dy = \int_0^b y \left(ny^{n-1} \frac{1}{b^n} \right) dy = \frac{n}{b^n} \int_0^b y^n dy = \frac{n}{b^n} \left[\frac{y^{n+1}}{n+1} \right]_0^b \\ &= \frac{n}{b^n} \left(\frac{b^{n+1}}{n+1} \right) = \left(\frac{n}{n+1} \right) b \end{aligned}$$

Since this is not equal to b , the \hat{b}_{MLE} is a biased estimator for b

(c) Use (b) to find an unbiased estimator of b

We can convert this to an unbiased estimator by multiplying it by $\frac{n+1}{n}$

$$\text{Hence } \hat{b} = \left(\frac{n+1}{n} \right) Y_{\max} = \frac{n}{n+1} \max(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n)$$

Is an unbiased estimator for b

3. GEOMETRIC EXAMPLE

Example 2:

Suppose we have a population which has a geometric distribution with parameter p

Take samples Y_1, \dots, Y_n from this distribution

Find the MLE for p

Recall:

The pmf for $Y \sim \text{Geom}(p)$ is

$$p(k) = P(Y = k) = (1 - p)^{k-1}p$$

STEP 1: Our likelihood function is:

$$L(Y_1, \dots, Y_n | p) = p(Y_1)p(Y_2) \cdots p(Y_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - p)^{Y_i-1}p$$

Note: $\prod_{i=1}^n$ means take the product of all the $(1 - p)^{Y_i-1}p$. It's like $\sum_{i=1}^n$ but with products

STEP 2: To maximize this, we need to use calculus: Differentiate with respect to p and set the derivative equal to zero.

This becomes messy in general, but much easier with:

Trick: Take logarithms

$$\begin{aligned}\ln L(Y_1, \dots, Y_n | p) &= \ln \prod_{i=1}^n (1-p)^{Y_i-1} p = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1-p)^{Y_i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln p \\ &= n \ln p + \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - 1) \ln(1-p)\end{aligned}$$

Taking the derivative with respect to p :

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dp} \ln L(Y_1, \dots, Y_n | p) &= \frac{n}{p} + \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - 1) \left(\frac{-1}{1-p} \right) = \frac{n}{p} - \frac{1}{1-p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i - \sum_{i=1}^n 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i - n \right) = 0\end{aligned}$$

STEP 3: Solve for p , since that's what we're trying to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{1-p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i - n \right) &= \frac{n}{p} \\ p \left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i - n \right) &= n(1-p) \\ p \left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \right) - np &= n - np \\ p &= \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i} = \frac{1}{\bar{Y}}\end{aligned}$$

STEP 4: Answer:

Thus the MLE for the parameter p is $\hat{p}_{\text{MLE}} = \frac{1}{\bar{Y}}$

Note: This is the same estimator as the method of moments

Note: The trick works because if f is any positive function, then $\frac{d}{dx} \ln(f(x)) = \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}$ so if the left-hand-side is zero, then $f'(x) = 0$ and vice-versa.

4. POISSON EXAMPLE

Example 3:

Suppose we have a population which has a Poisson distribution with parameter λ .

Take samples Y_1, \dots, Y_n from this distribution.

Find the MLE for λ

STEP 1: Using the Poisson pmf, The likelihood function is:

$$\begin{aligned} L(Y_1, \dots, Y_n | \lambda) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{Y_i}}{Y_i!} = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{Y_1}}{Y_1!} \cdots e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{Y_n}}{Y_n!} \\ &= e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{Y_1 + \dots + Y_n} \frac{1}{Y_1! \cdots Y_n!} = e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{n\bar{Y}} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{Y_i!} \end{aligned}$$

STEP 2: To maximize this with respect to λ , we will once again maximize the log likelihood function.

$$\begin{aligned} \ln L(Y_1, \dots, Y_n | \lambda) &= \ln(e^{-n\lambda}) + \ln(\lambda^{n\bar{Y}}) + \ln \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{Y_i!} \right) \\ &= -n\lambda + n\bar{Y} \ln(\lambda) + \ln \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{Y_i!} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Taking the derivative with respect to λ :

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \ln L(Y_1, \dots, Y_n | \lambda) = -n + \frac{n\bar{Y}}{\lambda}$$

STEP 3: Setting this equal to 0, we get $\lambda = \bar{Y}$

Therefore our MLE estimator is $\hat{\lambda}_{\text{MLE}} = \bar{Y}$ which is the same estimator we got using the method of moments.