

## **Recovery Science and Harm Reduction Reading Group:**

## September 2021 Summary

### **Article Summary**

The authors of this article conducted a review of published studies focusing on collegiate recovery programming. Collegiate recovery programming is defined as a way to create recovery-friendly campus environments through peer support, mutual-help meetings, recovery/sober housing, alcohol/drug-free events, counseling staff, and student drop-in centers. In their review, the authors identified four key outcomes of collegiate recovery programming: 1) clinical, 2) recovery experience, 3) program characterization, and 4) stigma. The authors suggested that these four outcomes could serve as a framework to better understand and improve programming for vulnerable students in recovery.

A major finding of this review article was the lack of controlled research studies and implementation science studies examining collegiate recovery programming. Based on this finding, the authors note that it is important for future research studies to use rigorous research methods. Additionally, the authors noted the need of further research on collegiate recovery programming to focus on underserved groups such as students of color, women students, women students, low-income students, and first-generation students.

		Addictive Behaviors 121 (2021) 106992
f		Contents lists available at ScienceDirect
ry		Addictive Behaviors
J	ELSEVIER	journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/addictbeh
	scoping review Noel Vest <sup>a,*</sup> , Meg Reinstra <sup>a</sup> , Christine Timko <sup>a,b</sup> , John Kelly <sup>c</sup> , Keith Humphreys <sup>a,b</sup>	
ors ery	*Statified University Solitod (§ Medicine, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, 407 Quarry Rd, Stanford, CA 94505, United States <sup>b</sup> Contro for invasionis to Implementation, Neurans Affres Telo Alto Health Care System, 3801 Miranda Ave (152 MPD), Pelo Alto, CA 94504, United States <sup>c</sup> Harvard Medical School, Boaton, MA 62214, United States	
3)	ARTICLEINFO	A B S T R A C T
:hors Ə	Keywords: Collegiate recovery program Scoping review College stidents Substance use disorder	Background: The health and well-being of students in recovery from substance use disorder are increasingly being recognized as a priority on college campuses. This scoping review maps the state of the existing literature evaluating collegiate recovery programming to highlight research agas and inform policy. Mediad: We conducted a systematic search of articles related to collegiate recovery programming published before August 2020. The 15 extracted study characteristics included publication type, study design, primary outcomes, reporting of behavioral addictions, mutual-help group attendance, sample demographic information,

outcomes, reporting of behavioral addictions, mutual-help group attennance, many and a standard size, ownership, and funding source difference of the standard size ownership, and funding source difference of the standard size of the standar

### 1. Introduction

Approximately 600,000 college students describe themselves as in recovery from an alcohol and/or other drug use disorder (ACHA-RCHA II, 2019, National Center for Education Statistics, 2017, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2019). Colleges and universities are beginning to provide support services intended to improve health and educational outcomes among his student population (Reed, Almaguer-bottor, Grizzell, & Watts, 2020). Collegiate recovery programs (CRPs) create a recovery-friendly campus environment through peer support, on-campus mutual-help meetings, recovery/sober housing, alcohol/drug-free events, counseling staff, and dedicated student drow-in centers (Buebee et al., 2016).

dent drop-in centers (Bugbee et al., 2016). CRFs are built on three critical foundations: the need for continuing care of substance use disorders (SUDs), the importance of recoveryoriented systems of care (ROSC), and the value of peer-recovery support services. Continuing care refers to the recovery stage of healing and may include individual check-ups as well as mutual-help meetings and involvement in drug-free social events (Laitman, Rachur-Karavite, &

#### \* Corresponding author. E-mail address: noclvest@stanford.edu (N. Vest).

E-mail address: nocivest@stantord.enti (N. W

Received 23 January 2021; Received in revised form 17 May 2021; Accepted 20 May 2021 Available online 24 May 2021 0306-4603/© 2021 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Stewart, 2014). ROSCs are a framework for coordinating multiple systems, services, and supports that are person-centered and designed to readily adjust to meet the individual's needs and chosen pathway to recovery (Kaplan, 2008). ROSC staff and health care professionals are increasingly emphasizing expanded peer support for individuals with SUD (Tracy & Wallace, 2016). CRPs are one venue where peer support services are being implemented, via both peer recovery coaching and recovery housing support services (Laudet & Humphreys, 2013).

services are being implemented, via both peer recovery coaching and recovery housing support services (Laudet & Humplerys, 2013). The rapidly growing and novel resource of CRPs has attracted surprisingly little research interest, despite intense national concern about substance use on college campuses. Examining health and related outcomes for students in recovery is important for at least three reasons. First, lowering the risk for relapie on campus may lead to better continuous enrollment outcomes among students (Aria et al., 2013). Second, embracing students in recovery on campus helps normalize substance-free lifestyles, which can have radiating benefits to colleges. Lastly, understanding the unique health needs of students in recovery may allow colleges and universities to design programming that will be responsive to the healthcare and educational needs of this student

### Key meeting themes



Challenges engaging students in recovery programming.



Harm reduction is becoming more prevalent in collegiate recovery programming.



Need for facilitated activities for students who use substances and those who are abstinent.



Recovery can be abstinence or non-abstinence-based.

brown.edu/go/recoveryharmrdx

recoveryharmredux@brown.edu

# **DISCUSSION SUMMARY**

The following themes came from the discussion:

### Challenges engaging students in recovery programming

Many students are not at the point in their recovery journey when they are ready to engage in recovery programming. It is also important to provide recovery services to meet the needs of all students and offer a range of treatment models in addition to the 12-step model.



# Harm reduction is becoming more prevalent in collegiate recovery programming

Harm reduction was discussed as being necessary in collegiate recovery programming to support multiple pathways to recovery and meet student needs. Harm reduction approaches are becoming more prevalent among collegiate recovery programs across the U.S.



# Need for facilitated activities for students who use substances and those who are abstinent

Group attendees noted a need for facilitated activities for students to attend regardless of whether they currently do/do not use substances. These experiences could promote exposure to other students and people in recovery.



### Recovery can be abstinence or non-abstinence-based

Recovery as a term does not only apply to people engaging in abstinence from all substances, but also applies to people who still use a substance (i.e. alcohol). Individuals are in recovery when they say that they are in recovery.





Addiction Technology Transfer Center Network

Organized by RICARES and Brown University School of Public Health , and supported by the New England Addiction Technology Transfer Center.

Visit us at our website: brown.edu/go/recoveryharmrdx Follow us on Facebook and Twitter: @recoveryharmrdx

New England (HHS Region 1)