QCD, Wilson loop and the interquark potential

Marco Frasca

Twelfth Workshop on Non-Perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics, Paris, June 10-13, 2013

Plan of the talk

Classical field theory

- Scalar field theory
- Yang-Mills theory
- Yang-Mills Green function

Quantum field theory

- Scalar field theory
- Yang-Mills theory
- QCD in the infrared limit
- Wilson loop
- Interquark potential

Conclusions

A classical field theory for a massless scalar field is given by

 $\Box \phi + \lambda \phi^3 = j$

The homogeneous equation can be solved by

Exact solution

$$\phi = \mu \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \operatorname{sn}(p \cdot x + \theta, i) \qquad p^2 = \mu^2 \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}$$

being sn an elliptic Jacobi function and μ and θ two constants. This solution holds provided the given **dispersion relation** holds and represents a free massive solution notwithstanding we started from a massless theory.

• Mass arises from the nonlinearities when λ is taken to be finite.

• When there is a current we ask for a solution in the limit $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ as our aim is to understand a strong coupling limit. So, we check a solution

$$\phi = \kappa \int d^4 x' G(x - x') j(x') + \delta \phi$$

being $\delta \phi$ all higher order corrections.

One can prove that this is indeed so provided

Next-to-leading Order (NLO)

$$\delta\phi = \kappa^2 \lambda \int d^4 x' d^4 x'' G(x-x') [G(x'-x'')]^3 j(x') + O(j(x)^3)$$

with the identification $\kappa = \mu$, the same of the exact solution, and $\Box G(x-x') + \lambda [G(x-x')]^3 = \mu^{-1} \delta^4(x-x').$

- The correction $\delta \phi$ is known in literature and yields a sunrise diagram in momenta. This needs a regularization.
- Our aim is to compute the propagator G(x-x') to NLO.

In order to solve the equation

 $\Box G(x-x') + \lambda [G(x-x')]^3 = \mu^{-1} \delta^4(x-x')$

we can start from the d=1+0 case $\partial_t^2 G_0(t-t')+\lambda[G_0(t-t')]^3=\mu^2\delta(t-t')$. It is straightforwardly obtained the Fourier transformed solution

$$G_{0}(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1) \frac{\pi^{2}}{\kappa^{2}(i)} \frac{(-1)^{n} e^{-(n+\frac{1}{2})\pi}}{1+e^{-(2n+1)\pi}} \frac{1}{\omega^{2} - m_{n}^{2} + i\epsilon}$$

being m_n=(2n+1)^π/_{2K(i)}(^λ/₂)^{1/4}μ and K(i)=1.311028777... an elliptic integral.
We are able to recover the fully covariant propagator by boosting from the rest reference frame obtaining finally

$$G(p) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1) \frac{\pi^2}{\kappa^2(i)} \frac{(-1)^n e^{-(n+\frac{1}{2})\pi}}{1+e^{-(2n+1)\pi}} \frac{1}{p^2 - m_n^2 + i\epsilon}.$$

A classical field theory for the Yang-Mills field is given by

 $\partial^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}A^{a}_{\nu} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\xi}\right)\partial_{\nu}(\partial^{\mu}A^{a}_{\mu}) + gf^{abc}A^{b\mu}(\partial_{\mu}A^{c}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A^{c}_{\mu}) + gf^{abc}\partial^{\mu}(A^{b}_{\mu}A^{c}_{\nu}) + g^{2}f^{abc}f^{cde}A^{b\mu}A^{d}_{\mu}A^{e}_{\nu} = -j^{a}_{\nu}.$

- For the homogeneous equations, we want to study it in the formal limit $g \to \infty$. We note that a class of exact solutions exists if we take the potential A^a_{μ} just depending on time, after a proper selection of the components [see Smilga (2001)]. These solutions are the same of the scalar field when spatial coordinates are set to zero (rest frame).
- Differently from the scalar field, we cannot just boost away these solutions to get a general solution to Yang-Mills equations due to gauge symmetry. But we can try to find a set of similar solutions with the proviso of a gauge choice.
- This kind of solutions will permit us to prove that a set of them exists supporting a trivial infrared fixed point to build up a quantum field theory.

Exactly as in the case of the scalar field we assume the following solution to our field equations

$$A^{a}_{\mu} = \kappa \int d^{4}x' D^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(x-x') j^{b\nu}(x') + \delta A^{a}_{\mu}$$

- Also in this case, apart from a possible correction, this boils down to an expansion in powers of the currents as already guessed in the '80 [R. T. Cahill and C. D. Roberts, Phys. Rev. D 32, 2419 (1985)].
- This implies that the corresponding quantum theory, in a very strong coupling limit, takes a Gaussian form and is trivial.
- The crucial point, as already pointed out in the eighties [T. Goldman and R. W. Haymaker, Phys. Rev. D 24, 724 (1981), T. Cahill and C. D. Roberts (1985)], is the determination of the gluon propagator in the low-energy limit.

- The question to ask is: Does a set of classical solutions exist for Yang-Mills equations supporting a trivial infrared fixed point for the corresponding quantum theory?
- The answer is yes! These solutions are instantons in the form $A^a_{\mu} = \eta^a_{\mu} \phi$ with η^a_{μ} a set of constants and ϕ a scalar field.
- By direct substitution into Yang-Mills equations one recovers the equation for ϕ that is

$$\partial^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\phi - \frac{1}{N^2 - 1}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\xi}\right)(\eta^{a} \cdot \partial)^2\phi + Ng^2\phi^3 = -j_{\phi}$$

being $j_{\phi}=\eta^{a}_{\mu}j^{\mu a}$ and use has been made of the formula $\eta^{\nu a}\eta^{a}_{\nu}=N^{2}-1$.

- In the Landau gauge (Lorenz gauge classically) this equation is exactly that of the scalar field given before and we get again a current expansion.
- So, a set of solutions of the Yang-Mills equations exists supporting a trivial infrared fixed point. Our aim is to study the theory in this case.

Yang-Mills-Green function

The instanton solutions given above permit us to write down immediately the propagator for the Yang-Mills equations in the Landau gauge for SU(N) being exactly the same given for the scalar field:

Gluon propagator in the infrared limit

$$\Delta_{\mu\nu}^{ab}(\mathbf{p}) = \delta_{ab} \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{p_{\mu}p_{\nu}}{p^2} \right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{p^2 - m_n^2 + i\epsilon} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{Ng}}\right)$$

being

$$B_n = (2n+1) \frac{\pi^2}{\kappa^2(i)} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} e^{-(n+\frac{1}{2})\pi}}{1+e^{-(2n+1)\pi}}$$

and

$$m_n = (2n+1) \frac{\pi}{2K(i)} \left(\frac{Ng^2}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \Lambda$$

∧ is an integration constant as µ for the scalar field.
This is the propagator of a massive field theory.

 We can formulate a quantum field theory for the scalar field starting from the generating functional

$$Z[j] = \int [d\phi] \exp\left[i \int d^4x \left(\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4 + j\phi\right)\right].$$

• We can rescale the space-time variable as $x \to \sqrt{\lambda} x$ and rewrite the functional as

$$Z[j] = \int [d\phi] \exp\left[i\frac{1}{\lambda} \int d^4x \left(\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{4}\phi^4 + \frac{1}{\lambda}j\phi\right)\right].$$

Then we can seek for a solution series as $\phi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-n} \phi_n$ and rescale the current $j \to j/\lambda$ being this arbitrary.

The leading order correction can be computed solving the classical equation

$$\Box \phi_0 + \phi_0^3 = j$$

that we already know how to manage. This is completely consistent with our preceding formulation [M. Frasca, Phys. Rev. D 73, 027701 (2006)] but now all is fully covariant.

Using the approximation holding at strong coupling

 $\phi_0 = \mu \int d^4 x G(x - x') j(x') + \dots$

it is not difficult to write the generating functional at the leading order in a Gaussian form

 $Z_0[j] = \exp\left[\frac{i}{2} \int d^4x' d^4x'' j(x') G(x'-x'') j(x'')\right].$

This conclusion is really important: It says that the scalar field theory in d=3+1 is trivial in the infrared limit!

This functional describes a set of free particles with a mass spectrum

$$m_n = (2n+1) \frac{\pi}{2K(i)} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \mu$$

that are the poles of the propagator, the same of the classical theory.

We can now take the form of the propagator given above, e.g. in the Landau gauge, as

$$D^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(p) = \delta_{ab} \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\rho_{\mu}\rho_{\nu}}{p^2} \right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{p^2 - m_n^2 + i\epsilon} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{Ng}}\right)$$

to do a formulation of Yang-Mills theory in the infrared limit.

Then, the next step is to use the approximation that holds in a strong coupling limit

$$A^a_{\mu} = \Lambda \int d^4 x' D^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(x-x') j^{b\nu}(x') + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{Ng}}\right) + O(j^3)$$

and we note that, in this approximation, the ghost field just decouples and becomes free and one finally has at the leading order

$$Z_0[j] = N \exp\left[\frac{i}{2} \int d^4x' d^4x'' j^{a\mu}(x') D^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(x'-x'') j^{b\nu}(x'')\right].$$

Yang-Mills theory has an infrared trivial fixed point in the limit of the coupling going to infinity and we expect the running coupling to go to zero lowering energies. So, the leading order propagator cannot confine.

- Now, we can take a look at the ghost part of the action. We just note that, for this particular form of the propagator, inserting our approximation into the action produces an action for a free ghost field.
- Indeed, we will have

$$S_g = -\int d^4x \left[\bar{c}^a \partial_\mu \partial^\mu c^a + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{Ng}} \right) + O(j^3) \right]$$

A ghost propagator can be written down as

$$G_{ab}(p) = -\frac{\delta_{ab}}{p^2 + i\epsilon} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{Ng}}\right).$$

• Our conclusion is that, in a strong coupling expansion $1/\sqrt{N_g}$, we get the so called **decoupling solution**.

A direct comparison of our results with numerical Dyson-Schwinger equations gives the following:



that is strikingly good (ref. A. Aguilar, A. Natale, JHEP 0408, 057 (2004)).

- When use is made of the trivial infrared fixed point, QCD action can be written down quite easily.
- Indeed, we will have for the gluon field

$$S_{gf} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4 x' d^4 x'' \left[j^{\mu a}(x') D^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(x'-x'') j^{\nu b}(x'') + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{Ng}}\right) + O(j^3) \right]$$

and for the quark fields

$$S_q = \sum_q \int d^4 x \bar{q}(x) \left[i \partial \!\!\!/ - m_q - g \gamma^{\mu} \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \int d^4 x' D^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(x - x') j^{\nu b}(x') \right]$$

 $-g^2\gamma^{\mu}\frac{\lambda^a}{2}\int d^4x' D^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(x-x')\sum_{q'}\bar{q}'(x')\frac{\lambda^b}{2}\gamma^{\nu}q'(x')+O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}g}\right)+O(j^3)\Big]q(x)$

 We recognize here an explicit Yukawa interaction and a Nambu-Jona-Lasinio non-local term. Already at this stage we are able to recognize that NJL is the proper low-energy limit for QCD.

- Now we operate the Smilga's choice $\eta^a_\mu \eta^b_\nu = \delta_{ab}(\eta_{\mu\nu} p_\mu p_\nu/p^2)$ for the Landau gauge.
- We are left with the infrared limit QCD using conservation of currents

$$S_{gf} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4 x' d^4 x'' \left[j^a_\mu(x') \Delta(x' - x'') j^{\mu a}(x'') + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{Ng}}\right) + O\left(j^3\right) \right]$$

and for the quark fields

$$S_q = \sum_q \int d^4 x \bar{q}(x) \left[i \partial - m_q - g \gamma^{\mu} \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \int d^4 x' \Delta(x - x') j^a_{\mu}(x') \right]$$

$$-g^2\gamma^{\mu}\frac{\lambda^a}{2}\int d^4x'\Delta(x-x')\sum_{q'}\bar{q}'(x')\frac{\lambda^a}{2}\gamma_{\mu}q'(x')+O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{Ng}}\right)+O\left(j^3\right)\Big]q(x)$$

• We want to give explicitly the contributions from gluon resonances. In order to do this, we introduce the bosonic currents $j^a_{\mu}(x) = \eta^a_{\mu}j(x)$ with the current j(x) that of the gluonic excitations.

• Using the relation $\eta^a_\mu \eta^{\mu a} = 3(N_c^2 - 1)$ we get in the end

$$S_{gf} = \frac{3}{2} (N_c^2 - 1) \int d^4 x' d^4 x'' \left[j(x') \Delta(x' - x'') j(x'') + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_g}}\right) + O(j^3) \right]$$

and for the quark fields

$$S_q = \sum_q \int d^4 x \bar{q}(x) \Big[i \partial \!\!\!/ - m_q - g \eta^a_\mu \gamma^\mu \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \int d^4 x' \Delta(x - x') j(x') \Big]$$

$$-g^2\gamma^{\mu}\tfrac{\lambda^{a}}{2}\int d^4x'\Delta(x-x')\sum_{q'}\bar{q}'(x')\tfrac{\lambda^{a}}{2}\gamma_{\mu}q'(x')+O\left(\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{Ng}}\right)+O\left(j^3\right)\Big]q(x)$$

- Now, we recognize that the propagator is just a sum of Yukawa propagators weighted by exponential damping terms. So, we introduce the σ field and truncate at the first excitation. This is a somewhat rough approximation but will be helpful in the following analysis.
- This means the we can write the bosonic currents contribution as coming from a boson field and written down as

 $\sigma(x) = \sqrt{3(N_c^2 - 1)/B_0} \int d^4 x' \Delta(x - x') j(x').$

 So, low-energy QCD yields a NJL model as given in [M. Frasca, PRC 84, 055208 (2011)]

$$S_{\sigma} = \int d^4 x \left[\frac{1}{2} (\partial \sigma)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m_0^2 \sigma^2 \right]$$

and for the quark fields

$$S_q = \sum_q \int d^4 x \bar{q}(x) \left[i \partial \!\!\!/ - m_q - g \sqrt{\frac{B_0}{3(N_c^2 - 1)}} \eta^a_\mu \gamma^\mu \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \sigma(x) \right]$$

$$-g^2\gamma^{\mu}\frac{\lambda^a}{2}\int d^4x'\Delta(x-x')\sum_{q'}\bar{q}'(x')\frac{\lambda^a}{2}\gamma_{\mu}q'(x')+O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{Ng}}\right)+O(j^3)\Big]q(x)$$

Now, we obtain directly from QCD (2G(0) = G is the standard NJL coupling)

$$\mathcal{G}(p) = -\frac{1}{2}g^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{p^2 - (2n+1)^2(\pi/2K(i))^2 \sigma + i\epsilon} = \frac{G}{2}\mathcal{C}(p)$$

with C(0) = 1 fixing in this way the value of G using the gluon propagator. This yields an almost perfect agreement with the case of an instanton liquid (see Ref. in this page).

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ 日 ・ うへの

Wilson loop

Low-energy QCD, being at infrared fixed point, is not confining (NJL model is not confining). This agrees with the analysis given in [P. González, V. Mathieu, and V. Vento, PRD 84, 114008 (2011)] for the decoupling solution of the propagators in the Landau gauge. Indeed, one has

$$W[\mathcal{C}] = \exp\left[-\frac{g^2}{2}C_2(R)\int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4}\Delta(p^2)\left(\eta_{\mu\nu}-\frac{p_{\mu}p_{\nu}}{p^2}\right)\oint_{\mathcal{C}}dx^{\mu}\oint_{\mathcal{C}}dy^{\nu}e^{-ip(x-y)}\right].$$

For the decoupling solution (at infrared fixed point) one has

$$W[\mathcal{C}] \approx \exp\left[-T \frac{g^2}{2} C_2(R) \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \Delta(\mathbf{p}, 0) e^{-i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}}\right] = \exp[-T V_{YM}(r)]$$

The potential is (assuming a fixed point value for g in QCD)

$$V_{\rm YM}(r) = -C_2(R) \frac{g^2}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1) \frac{\pi^2}{K^2(i)} \frac{(-1)^n e^{-(n+\frac{1}{2})\pi}}{1+e^{-(2n+1)\pi}} \frac{e^{-m_n r}}{r}$$

and due to massive excitations one gets a screened but not confining potential. This agrees very well with González&al.

Wilson loop

The leading order of the gluon propagator, as also emerging from lattice computations, is insufficient to give reason for confinement. We need to compute the sunrise diagram going to NLO:

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}(p^{2}) - \Delta(p^{2}) = \lambda \frac{1}{\mu^{2}} \Delta(p^{2}) \int \frac{d^{4}p_{1}}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{d^{4}p_{2}}{(2\pi)^{4}} \sum_{n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}} \frac{B_{n_{1}}}{p_{1}^{2} - m_{n_{1}}^{2}} \frac{B_{n_{2}}}{p_{2}^{2} - m_{n_{2}}^{2}} \frac{B_{n_{3}}}{(p - p_{1} - p_{2})^{2} - m_{n_{3}}^{2}}$$

 This integral is well-known [Caffo&al. Nuovo Cim. A 111, 365 (1998)] At small momenta will yield

Field renormalization factor

$$Z_{\phi}(p^{2}) = 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{27}{\pi^{8}} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{3 \cdot 3 \cdot 48}{\pi^{8}} \left(1 + \frac{3}{16} \frac{p^{2}}{\mu^{2}} \right) + O\left(\lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right).$$

• This implies for the gluon propagator $(\lambda = C_2(R)g^2, Z_0 = Z_{\phi}(0))$

$$D^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(p^2) = \delta_{ab} \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{p_{\mu}p_{\nu}}{p^2} \right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{Z_0^{-1}B_n}{p^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{3.3 \cdot 9}{\pi^8} \frac{p^4}{\mu^2} + m_n^2(p^2)} + O\left(\lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right)$$

900

Wilson loop

We note that

$$m_n^2(p^2) = m_n^2(0) \left[Z_0 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{3 \cdot 3 \cdot 9}{\pi^8} \frac{p^2}{\mu^2} + O\left(\lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right) \right]$$

that provides **very good agreement** with the scenario by **Dudal&al.** obtained by **postulating condensates**. Here we have an **existence proof**. Masses run with momenta.

- This correction provides the needed p⁴ Gribov contribution to the propagator to get a linear term in the potential.
- Now, from Wilson loop, we have to evaluate

$$V_{YM}(r) = -\frac{g^2}{2} C_2(R) \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \Delta_R(\mathbf{p}, 0) e^{-i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}}.$$

being

$$D_{\mu\nu}^{\prime ab}(p^2) = \delta_{ab} \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{p_{\mu}p_{\nu}}{p^2} \right) \Delta_R(p^2)$$

the renormalized propagator.

Interquark potential

$$V_{YM}(r) = -\frac{g^2}{8\pi r} C_2(R) Z_0^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp \frac{p \sin(pr)}{p^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{3.3 \cdot 9}{\pi^3} \frac{p^4}{\mu^2} + m_n^2(p^2)}$$

We rewrite it as

$$V_{YM}(r) \approx -\frac{g^2}{8\pi r} C_2(R) Z_0^{-1} \frac{\pi^8 \lambda \mu^2}{3.3.9} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp \frac{p \sin(pr)}{(p^2 + \kappa^2)^2 - \kappa^4}$$

being $\kappa^2 = \frac{\pi^8 \lambda \mu^2}{3.3 \cdot 9}$, **neglecting running masses** that go like $\sqrt{\lambda}$. Finally, for $\kappa r \ll 1$, this yields the well-known linear contribution:

$$V_{YM}(r) \approx -\frac{g^2}{8r} C_2(R) e^{-\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{2}}r} \sinh\left(\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{2}}r\right) \approx -\frac{g^2}{8\pi} C_2(R) \left[\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}\kappa - \frac{\pi}{2}\kappa^2 r + O\left((\kappa r)^2\right)\right].$$

Interquark potential

- From the given potential it is not difficult to evaluate the string tension, similarly to what is done in d=2+1 for pure Yang-Mills theory.
- The linear rising term gives

$$\sigma = \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{g^2}{4\pi} C_2(R) \kappa^2.$$

Remembering that $\lambda = d(R)g^2$,

String tension for SU(N) in d=3+1:

$$\sqrt{\sigma}pprox rac{\pi^{rac{9}{2}}}{11}g^2\sqrt{rac{C_2(R)d(R)}{4\pi}}\mu$$

that compares really well with the case in d=2+1 [D. Karabali, V. P. Nair and A. Yelnikov, Nucl. Phys. B **824**, 387 (2010)] being $\sqrt{\sigma_{d=2+1}} \approx g^2 \sqrt{\frac{C_2(R)d(R)}{4\pi}}$.

Conclusions

- We provided a **strong coupling expansion** both for classical and quantum field theory **for scalar field and QCD**.
- A low-energy limit of QCD is so obtained that reduces to a non-local Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model with all the parameters and the form factor properly fixed.
- We showed how the leading order for the gluon propagator is not confining and we need to compute Next-to-Leading Order approximation given by a sunrise diagram.
- Next-to-Leading Order correction provides the p⁴ Gribov contribution granting a confining potential.
- String tension can be computed and appears to be consistent with expectations from d=2+1 case.

Helpful discussions with Marco Ruggieri are gratefully acknowledged.

Thanks a lot for your attention!

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @