PRD71(2005), JPG32(2006), PRD77(2008), NPBPS234(2013), arXiv:1302.0518 [hep-ph]

Dispersive approach to QCD and hadronic vacuum polarization function

A.V. Nesterenko

Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russian Federation

Twelfth Workshop on Non-Perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics Paris, France, 10 – 13 June 2013

INTRODUCTION

Hadronic vacuum polarization function $\Pi(q^2)$ plays a central role in various issues of QCD and Standard Model. In particular, the theoretical description of some strong interaction processes and hadronic contributions to electroweak observables is inherently based on $\Pi(q^2)$:

- electron–positron annihilation into hadrons
- hadronic τ lepton decay
- muon anomalous magnetic moment
- running of the electromagnetic coupling

A.V.Nesterenko

GENERAL DISPERSION RELATIONS

The cross-section of $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons: $e^{-\sum p_1}$ h $\sigma = 4\pi^2 \frac{2\alpha^2}{s^3} L^{\mu\nu} \Delta_{\mu\nu},$ a d where $s = q^2 = (p_1 + p_2)^2 > 0$. 0 γ, Z_0 n $L_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \Big[q_{\mu}q_{\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}q^2 - (p_1 - p_2)_{\mu}(p_1 - p_2)_{\nu} \Big],$ $\Delta_{\mu\nu} = (2\pi)^4 \sum \delta(p_1 + p_2 - p_{\Gamma}) \left\langle 0 | J_{\mu}(-q) | \Gamma \right\rangle \left\langle \Gamma | J_{\nu}(q) | 0 \right\rangle,$ and $J_{\mu} = \sum_{f} Q_{f} : \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} q$: is the electromagnetic quark current. Kinematic restriction: hadronic tensor $\Delta_{\mu\nu}(q^2)$ acquires non-zero values only for $q^2 \ge m^2$, since otherwise no hadron state Γ could be excited Feynman (1972); Adler (1974). A.V.Nesterenko Paris 12th Workshop on Nonperturbative QCD

The hadronic tensor can be represented as $\Delta_{\mu\nu} = 2 \operatorname{Im} \Pi_{\mu\nu}$, $\Pi_{\mu\nu}(q^2) = i \int e^{iqx} \langle 0 | T \{ J_{\mu}(x) J_{\nu}(0) \} | 0 \rangle d^4x = i (q_{\mu}q_{\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}q^2) \frac{\Pi(q^2)}{12\pi^2}.$

<u>Kinematic restriction</u>: $\Pi(q^2)$ has the only cut $q^2 \ge m^2$.



where $\Delta \Pi(q^2, q_0^2) = \Pi(q^2) - \Pi(q_0^2)$ and R(s) denotes the measurable ratio of two cross-sections ($\underline{R(s)} \equiv 0$ for $s < m^2$)

$$R(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} \left[\Pi(s + i\varepsilon) - \Pi(s - i\varepsilon) \right] = \frac{\sigma \left(e^+ e^- \to \text{hadrons}; s \right)}{\sigma \left(e^+ e^- \to \mu^+ \mu^-; s \right)}.$$

A.V.Nesterenko

For practical purposes it is proven to be convenient to deal with the Adler function $(Q^2 = -q^2 \ge 0)$

$$D(Q^2) = \frac{d \Pi(-Q^2)}{d \ln Q^2}, \qquad D(Q^2) = Q^2 \int_{m^2}^{\infty} \frac{R(s)}{(s+Q^2)^2} ds$$

Adler (1974); De Rujula, Georgi (1976); Bjorken (1989).



The integration contour in complex ζ -plane lies in the region of analyticity of the integrand.

A.V.Nesterenko

Paris 12th Workshop on Nonperturbative QCD

s+ie

Reζ

The complete set of relations between $\Pi(q^2)$, R(s), and $D(Q^2)$:

$$\begin{split} \Delta \Pi(q^2, q_0^2) &= (q^2 - q_0^2) \int_{m^2}^{\infty} \frac{R(\sigma)}{(\sigma - q^2)(\sigma - q_0^2)} \, d\sigma = -\int_{-q_0^2}^{-q^2} D(\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta}, \\ R(s) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} \left[\Pi(s + i\varepsilon) - \Pi(s - i\varepsilon) \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} \int_{s + i\varepsilon}^{s - i\varepsilon} D(-\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta}, \\ D(Q^2) &= -\frac{d \Pi(-Q^2)}{d \ln Q^2} = Q^2 \int_{m^2}^{\infty} \frac{R(\sigma)}{(\sigma + Q^2)^2} \, d\sigma. \end{split}$$

Their derivation requires only the location of cut of $\Pi(q^2)$ and its UV asymptotic. Neither additional approximations nor phenomenological assumptions are involved.

Nonperturbative constraints:

- $\Pi(q^2)$: only cut $q^2 \ge m^2$;
- R(s): vanishes for $s < m^2$, embodies π^2 -terms;
- $D(Q^2)$: only cut $Q^2 \leq -m^2$, vanishes at $Q^2 \to 0$.

DISPERSIVE APPROACH TO QCD

Functions on hand in terms of common spectral density:

$$\begin{split} \Delta \Pi(q^2, q_0^2) &= \Delta \Pi^{(0)}(q^2, q_0^2) + \int_{m^2}^{\infty} \rho(\sigma) \ln\left(\frac{\sigma - q^2 m^2 - q_0^2}{\sigma - q_0^2}\right) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma}, \\ R(s) &= R^{(0)}(s) + \theta(s - m^2) \int_{s}^{\infty} \rho(\sigma) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma}, \\ D(Q^2) &= D^{(0)}(Q^2) + \frac{Q^2}{Q^2 + m^2} \int_{m^2}^{\infty} \rho(\sigma) \frac{\sigma - m^2 d\sigma}{\sigma + Q^2 \sigma}, \\ \rho(\sigma) &= \frac{1}{\pi d} \frac{d}{\ln \sigma} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} p(\sigma - i\varepsilon) = -\frac{dr(\sigma)}{d \ln \sigma} = \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} d(-\sigma - i\varepsilon), \\ \text{with } \Delta \Pi^{(0)}(q^2, q_0^2), \ R^{(0)}(s), \ \text{and } D^{(0)}(Q^2) \ \text{being leading-order terms}, \ p(q^2), \ r(s), \ \text{and } d(Q^2) \ \text{being the strong corrections} \\ \blacksquare \ \text{Nesterenko, Papavassiliou (2005, 2006); Nesterenko (2007-2013).} \end{split}$$

A.V.Nesterenko

The obtained integral representations automatically embody all the aforementioned nonperturbative constraints. Their derivation requires only the general dispersion relations and the asymptotic ultraviolet behavior of $\Pi(q^2)$. Neither additional approximations nor model-dependent assumptions were involved.

The leading–order terms of the functions on hand:

$$\bar{\Pi}^{(0)}(q^2) = \frac{2}{\tan^2 \varphi} \left(1 - \frac{\varphi}{\tan \varphi} \right) - \frac{2}{3},$$
$$R^{(0)}(s) = \theta(s - m^2) \left(1 - \frac{m^2}{s} \right)^{3/2},$$
$$D^{(0)}(Q^2) = 1 + \frac{3}{\xi} \left[1 - \sqrt{1 + \xi^{-1}} \sinh^{-1}(\xi^{1/2}) \right],$$

where $\bar{\Pi}(q^2) = \Delta \Pi(0, q^2)$, $\sin^2 \varphi = q^2/m^2$, $\xi = Q^2/m^2$

Feynman (1972); Akhiezer, Berestetsky (1965).

A.V.Nesterenko

Perturbative contribution to the spectral density:

$$\rho_{\rm pert}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{d}{d \ln \sigma} \operatorname{Im}_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} p_{\rm pert}(\sigma - i\varepsilon) = -\frac{d r_{\rm pert}(\sigma)}{d \ln \sigma} = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im}_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} \lim_{t \to 0_+} d_{\rm pert}(-\sigma - i\varepsilon) = -\frac{d r_{\rm pert}(\sigma)}{d \ln \sigma} = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im}_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} \lim_{t \to 0_+} d_{\rm pert}(-\sigma - i\varepsilon) = -\frac{d r_{\rm pert}(\sigma)}{d \ln \sigma} = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im}_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} \lim_{t \to 0_+} d_{\rm pert}(-\sigma - i\varepsilon) = -\frac{d r_{\rm pert}(\sigma)}{d \ln \sigma} = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im}_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} \lim_{t \to 0_+} d_{\rm pert}(-\sigma - i\varepsilon) = -\frac{d r_{\rm pert}(\sigma)}{d \ln \sigma} = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im}_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} \lim_{t \to 0_+} d_{\rm pert}(-\sigma - i\varepsilon) = -\frac{d r_{\rm pert}(\sigma)}{d \ln \sigma} = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im}_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} \lim_{t \to 0_+} d_{\rm pert}(-\sigma - i\varepsilon) = -\frac{d r_{\rm pert}(\sigma)}{d \ln \sigma} = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im}_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} \lim_{t \to 0_+} d_{\rm pert}(-\sigma - i\varepsilon) = -\frac{d r_{\rm pert}(\sigma)}{d \ln \sigma} = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im}_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} \lim_{t \to 0_+} d_{\rm pert}(-\sigma - i\varepsilon) = -\frac{d r_{\rm pert}(\sigma)}{d \ln \sigma} = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im}_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} \lim_{t \to 0_+} d_{\rm pert}(-\sigma - i\varepsilon) = -\frac{d r_{\rm pert}(\sigma)}{d \ln \sigma} = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im}_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} \lim_{t \to 0_+} d_{\rm pert}(-\sigma - i\varepsilon) = -\frac{d r_{\rm pert}(\sigma)}{d \ln \sigma} =$$

The following model for spectral density will be employed:

$$\rho(\sigma) = \frac{4}{\beta_0} \frac{1}{\ln^2(\sigma/\Lambda^2) + \pi^2} + \frac{\Lambda^2}{\sigma}$$
3).

Nesterenko (2011–2013).

In the massless limit (m = 0) integral representations read

$$\Delta \Pi(q^2, q_0^2) = -\ln\left(\frac{-q^2}{-q_0^2}\right) + \int_0^\infty \rho(\sigma) \ln\left[\frac{1 - (\sigma/q^2)}{1 - (\sigma/q_0^2)}\right] \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma},$$
$$R(s) = \theta(s) \left[1 + \int_s^\infty \rho(\sigma) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma}\right], \quad D(Q^2) = 1 + \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho(\sigma)}{\sigma + Q^2} d\sigma.$$

For $\rho(\sigma) = \text{Im } d_{\text{pert}}(-\sigma - i0_+)/\pi$ two highlighted equations become identical to those of the APT \blacksquare Shirkov, Solovtsov (1997–2007). But it is essential to keep the threshold m^2 nonvanishing.

Adler function



Nesterenko, Papavassiliou (2006); Nesterenko (2007–2009).

Reliability of approaches:Pert. theoryMassless APTDispersive approach $Q \gtrsim 1.5 \, \text{GeV}$ $Q \gtrsim 1.0 \, \text{GeV}$ entire energy range

A.V.Nesterenko

Hadronic vacuum polarization function



Solid curve presents DispQCD result for $\overline{\Pi}(q^2) = \Delta \Pi(0, q^2)$, whereas its lattice prediction is shown by data points.

Della Morte, Jager, Juttner, Wittig (2011); Nesterenko (2013).

DispQCD result is in a good agreement with lattice data in the entire energy range.

A.V.Nesterenko

Inclusive τ lepton hadronic decay

The interest to this process is due to

- The only lepton with hadronic decays
- No need in phenomenological models
- Precise experimental data
- Probes infrared hadron dynamics

The experimentally measurable quantity:

$$R_{\tau} = \frac{\Gamma(\tau^- \to \text{hadrons}^- \nu_{\tau})}{\Gamma(\tau^- \to e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_{\tau})} = R_{\tau,\text{V}} + R_{\tau,\text{A}} + R_{\tau,\text{S}}, \text{ hadrons}$$

$$R_{\tau,\mathrm{V}} = R_{\tau,\mathrm{V}}^{J=0} + R_{\tau,\mathrm{V}}^{J=1} = 1.783 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.002,$$

$$R_{\tau,\mathrm{A}} = R_{\tau,\mathrm{A}}^{J=0} + R_{\tau,\mathrm{A}}^{J=1} = 1.695 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.002.$$

■ ALEPH Collaboration (1998–2008).

A.V.Nesterenko

Paris 12th Workshop on Nonperturbative QCD

 \mathcal{V}_{τ}

The theoretical prediction for the quantities on hand reads

$$R_{\tau,\mathrm{V/A}}^{J=1} = \frac{N_{\mathrm{c}}}{2} |V_{\mathrm{ud}}|^2 S_{\mathrm{EW}} \Big(\Delta_{\mathrm{QCD}}^{\mathrm{V/A}} + \delta_{\mathrm{EW}}' \Big),$$

 $N_{\rm c} = 3$, $|V_{\rm ud}| = 0.9738 \pm 0.0005$, $S_{\rm EW} = 1.0194 \pm 0.0050$, $\delta'_{\rm EW} = 0.0010$,

$$\Delta_{\rm QCD}^{\rm V/A} = 2 \int_{m_{\rm V/A}^2}^{M_\tau^2} f\left(\frac{s}{M_\tau^2}\right) R^{\rm V/A}(s) \frac{ds}{M_\tau^2},$$

where $M_{\tau} = 1.777 \,\text{GeV}, \ f(x) = (1-x)^2 (1+2x),$ $R^{\text{V/A}}(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} \left[\Pi^{\text{V/A}}(s+i\varepsilon) - \Pi^{\text{V/A}}(s-i\varepsilon) \right] = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} \Pi^{\text{V/A}}(s+i\varepsilon)$

Braaten, Narison, Pich (1992); Pivovarov (1992).

Integration by parts leads to

$$\Delta_{\rm QCD} = g(1)R(M_{\tau}^2) - g(\chi)R(m^2) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_1+C_2} g\left(\frac{\zeta}{M_{\tau}^2}\right) D(-\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta},$$

where $\chi = m^2/M_{\tau}^2$ and $g(x) = x(2 - 2x^2 + x^3).$

A.V.Nesterenko



$$\Delta_{\rm QCD} = g(1)R(M_{\tau}^2) - g(\chi)R(m^2) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_3 + C_4} g\left(\frac{\zeta}{M_{\tau}^2}\right) D(-\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta}$$

Despite the aforementioned remarks, in the perturbative analysis the massless limit (m = 0) is assumed, that gives

$$\Delta_{\rm QCD} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} \int_{-\pi+\varepsilon}^{\pi-\varepsilon} \left[1 - g\left(-e^{i\theta}\right) \right] D\left(M_{\tau}^2 e^{i\theta}\right) d\theta.$$

A.V.Nesterenko

Inclusive τ decay within perturbative approach:

Commonly, perturbative $D(Q^2)$ is directly employed here $D(Q^2) \simeq D_{\text{pert}}^{(\ell)}(Q^2) = D_{\text{pert}}^{(0)}(Q^2) + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} d_j \left[\alpha_{\text{pert}}^{(\ell)}(Q^2) \right]^j, \quad Q^2 \to \infty$ with $\alpha_{\text{pert}}^{(1)}(Q^2) = 4\pi/[\beta_0 \ln(Q^2/\Lambda^2)], \beta_0 = 11 - 2n_{\text{f}}/3$, and $d_1 = 1/\pi$.

In what follows the one-loop level ($\ell = 1$) with $n_f = 3$ active flavors will be assumed.

The one–loop perturbative expression for $\Delta_{QCD}^{V/A}$ reads

$$\Delta_{\text{pert}}^{\text{V/A}} = 1 + \frac{4}{\beta_0} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\lambda A_1(\theta) + \theta A_2(\theta)}{\pi(\lambda^2 + \theta^2)} \, d\theta,$$

where $\lambda = \ln(M_{\tau}^2/\Lambda^2)$, $A_1(\theta) = 1 + 2\cos(\theta) - 2\cos(3\theta) - \cos(4\theta)$, $A_2(\theta) = 2\sin(\theta) - 2\sin(3\theta) - \sin(4\theta)$.

A.V.Nesterenko

Perturbative approach gives $\Delta_{\text{pert}}^{\text{V}} \equiv \Delta_{\text{pert}}^{\text{A}}$, but $\Delta_{\text{exp}}^{\text{V}} \neq \Delta_{\text{exp}}^{\text{A}}$: $\Delta_{\text{exp}}^{\text{V}} = 1.224 \pm 0.050$, $\Delta_{\text{exp}}^{\text{A}} = 0.748 \pm 0.034$ [ALEPH-2008 data]



V-channel: perturbative approach gives two equally justified solutions, but only highlighted one is usually retained. A-channel: perturbative approach fails to describe experimental data on inclusive τ lepton hadronic decay.

A.V.Nesterenko

Inclusive τ decay within dispersive approach:

Description of the inclusive τ lepton hadronic decay within DispQCD enables one to properly account for

- effects due to hadronization $(m \neq 0)$
- nonperturbative constraints on the functions on hand

The use of initial expression for $\Delta_{QCD}^{V/A}$ with obtained above integral representations eventually leads to

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{\rm QCD}^{\rm V/A} &= \sqrt{1 - \zeta_{\rm V/A}} \left(1 + 6\zeta_{\rm V/A} - \frac{5}{8}\zeta_{\rm V/A}^2 + \frac{3}{16}\zeta_{\rm V/A}^3 \right) + \int_{m_{\rm V/A}^2}^{\infty} H\left(\frac{\sigma}{M_{\tau}^2}\right) \rho(\sigma) \, \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma} \\ &- 3\zeta_{\rm V/A} \left(1 + \frac{1}{8}\zeta_{\rm V/A}^2 - \frac{1}{32}\zeta_{\rm V/A}^3 \right) \ln \left[\frac{2}{\zeta_{\rm V/A}} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \zeta_{\rm V/A}} \right) - 1 \right], \\ &\text{with } \zeta_{\rm V/A} = m_{\rm V/A}^2 / M_{\tau}^2, \ H(x) = g(x) \, \theta(1 - x) + g(1) \, \theta(x - 1) - g\left(\zeta_{\rm V/A}\right) \\ &= \text{Nesterenko} \ (2011-2013). \end{split}$$

A.V.Nesterenko



The comparison of obtained result with experimental data yields nearly identical values of the QCD scale parameter Λ in vector and axial-vector channels, that testifies to the self-consistency of the developed approach.

SUMMARY

- The integral representations for $\Pi(q^2)$, R(s), and $D(Q^2)$ are derived within dispersive approach to QCD
- These representations embody the nonperturbative constraints and retain the effects due to hadronization
- The obtained results are in a good agreement with lattice data and low–energy experimental predictions
- The developed approach is capable of describing experimental data on inclusive τ lepton hadronic decay in vector and axial-vector channels in a self-consistent way

A.V.Nesterenko